

## الذكاء الشعوري وعلاقته بالسمات الشخصية للقادة الإداريين

### المعضلة الفكرية

هل أن مستوى الذكاء الشعوري يتباين بتباين بعض الجوانب الشخصية للقادة الإداريين مثل (الجنس،  
العمر، سنوات الخدمة، الحالة الاجتماعية والتحصيل الدراسي) الأمر الذي يقود إلى مجموعة من  
التساؤلات



أهمية البحث وأهدافه

فضيحة البحث

عينة البحث وأدواته

المحور الأول: المنهاد النظري

أولاً: مفهوم الذكاء الشعوري في جذوره الناقدية

ثانياً : عناصر الـدـكـاـءـ الشـعـورـيـ

. (& Higgs, 1999: 250

.(Davis,1997:447)

ثالثاً: الذكاء الشعوري والجنس

.(Barnnon, 1985: 308)

## المحور الثاني: عرض وتحليل البيانات وخبراء الفضيات

أ: نتائج مسح مثنويات الدكاء الشعوري لأفراد العينة حسب الجنس

5.56	7	5.56	2	5.68	5	
79.03	98	63.89	23	85.23	75	
15.23	19	30.55	11	9.09	8	
%100 =P	124=N	%100	36	%100	88	
3.22	4	2.78	1	3.41	3	
87.10	108	72.22	26	93.18	82	
9.68	12	25.0	9	3.41	3	
%100 =P	124=N	%100	36	%100	88	
7	7	5.56	2	5.68	5	
94	94	61.11	22	81.82	72	
23	23	33.33	12	12.50	11	
%100 =P	124=N	%100	36	%100	88	
1	1	--	--	1.14	1	
100	100	61.11	22	88.64	78	
23	23	38.89	14	10.22	9	
%100 =P	124=N	%100	36	%100	88	
1	1	--	--	1.14	1	
106	106	66.67	24	93.18	82	
17	17	33.33	12	5.68	5	
%100 =P	124=N	%100	36	%100	88	

تفسير النتائج

% 100	% 52.51	% 47.49	
% 100	% 52.63	% 47.37	
% 100	% 52.41	% 47.59	
% 100	% 53.33	% 46.67	
% 100	% 53.29	% 46.71	

### بـ . نتائج مسح مركبات الذهن الشعوري لأفراد العينة حسب العمر

---


$$\begin{aligned}
 & 203.41 = (3 \times 9.09) + (2 \times 85.23) + (1 \times 5.68) : \\
 & \underline{224.99} = (3 \times 30.55) + (2 \times 63.89) + (1 \times 5.56) : \\
 & 428.4 : \\
 & 47.49 = 100 \times (428.4 \div 203.41) : \\
 & 52.51 = 100 \times (428.4 \div 224.99) :
 \end{aligned}$$

			49 - 40		39 - 30						
5.65	7	3.57	1	5.0	2	2.63	1	16.67	3		
79.03	98	71.43	20	75.0	30	94.74	36	66.67	12		
15.32	19	25.0	7	20.0	8	2.63	1	16.66	3		
100=P	124 =N	%100	28	%100	40	%100	38	%100	18		
3.23	4	3.57	1	--	--	2.63	1	11.11	2		
87.10	108	85.71	24	87.5	35	92.11	35	77.78	14		
9.67	12	10.72	3	12.5	5	5.26	2	11.11	2		
100=P	124 =N	%100	28	%100	40	%100	38	%100	18		
5.65	7	7.14	2	5.0	2	2.63	1	11.11	2		
75.80	94	78.57	22	70.0	28	86.84	33	61.11	11		
18.55	23	14.29	4	25.0	10	10.53	4	27.78	5		
100=P	124 =N	%100	28	%100	40	%100	38	%100	18		
5.65	7	3.57	1	5.0	2	5.26	2	11.11	2		
75.80	94	85.71	24	72.5	29	73.68	28	72.22	13		
18.55	23	10.72	3	22.5	9	21.05	8	16.67	3		
100=P	124 =N	%100	28	%100	40	%100	38	%100	18		
4.84	6	3.57	1	5.0	2	2.63	1	11.11	2		
80.65	100	82.14	23	75.5	30	89.47	34	72.22	13		
14.51	18	14.29	4	20.0	8	7.89	3	16.67	3		
100=P	124 =N	%100	28	%100	40	%100	38	%100	18		

تفسير النتائج

		49 - 40	39 - 30	30 <	
% 100	% 26.47	% 25.71	% 23.91	% 23.91	
% 100	% 25.20	% 25.84	% 24.64	% 24.32	
% 100	% 24.32	% 25.83	% 24.41	% 25.44	
% 100	% 24.49	% 25.71	% 25.50	% 24.30	
% 100	% 25.19	% 25.70	% 24.54	% 24.57	

جـ . نتائج مسح مثنويات الذكاء الشعوري لأفراد العينة حسب الحالة الاجتماعية

5.65	7	4.21	4	10.35	3		
79.03	98	80.0	76	75.86	22		
15.32	19	15.79	15	13.79	4		
100 =P 124 =N		%100	95	100%	29		
3.23	4	3.16	3	3.45	1		
87.09	108	88.42	84	82.76	24		
9.68	12	8.42	8	13.79	4		
100 =P 124 =N		%100	95	100%	29		
5.65	7	4.21	4	10.35	3		
75.80	94	80.0	76	62.07	18		
18.55	23	15.79	15	27.58	8		
100 =P 124 =N		%100	95	100%	29		
2.42	3	1.05	1	6.90	2		
78.23	97	78.95	75	75.86	22		
19.35	24	20.0	19	17.24	5		
100 =P 124 =N		%100	95	100%	29		
3.23	4	1.05	1	10.35	3		
80.64	100	83.16	79	72.41	21		
16.13	20	15.79	15	17.24	5		
100 =P 124 =N		%100	95	100%	29		

تفسير النتائج

% 100	% 50.98	% 49.02	
% 100	% 49.39	% 50.61	
% 100	% 49.34	% 50.66	
% 100	% 51.0	% 49.0	
% 100	% 50.92	% 49.08	

د. نتائج مسحات الذكاء الشعوري لأفراد العينة حسب التحصيل الدراسي

5.65	7	--	--	5.56	4	4.35	1	11.11	2		
79.03	98	81.82	9	79.17	57	82.61	19	72.22	13		
15.32	17	18.18	2	15.27	11	13.04	3	16.67	3		
<b>100 =P 124 =N</b>		%100	11	%100	72	%100	23	%100	18		
3.23	4	--	--	4.17	3	4.35	1	--	--		
87.09	108	90.91	10	87.5	63	82.61	19	88.89	16		
9.68	12	9.09	1	8.33	6	13.04	3	11.11	2		
<b>100 =P 124 =N</b>		%100	11	%100	72	%100	23	%100	18		
5.65	7	--	--	4.17	3	8.70	2	11.11	2		
75.80	94	45.45	5	79.17	57	73.91	17	83.33	15		
18.55	23	54.55	6	16.67	12	17.39	4	5.56	1		
<b>100 =P 124 =N</b>		%100	11	%100	72	%100	23	%100	18		
4.84	6	--	--	1.39	1	8.70	2	16.67	3		
76.61	95	72.73	8	84.72	61	69.56	16	55.55	10		
18.55	23	27.27	3	13.89	10	21.74	5	27.78	5		
<b>100 =P 124 =N</b>		%100	11	%100	72	%100	23	%100	18		
4.84	6	--	--	1.39	1	8.70	2	16.67	3		
81.45	101	81.82	9	84.72	61	78.26	18	72.22	13		
13.71	17	18.18	2	13.89	10	13.04	3	11.11	2		
<b>100 =P 124 =N</b>		%100	11	%100	72	%100	23	%100	18		

تفسير النتائج

% 100	% 25.90	% 24.90	% 24.80	% 24.40	
% 100	% 25.10	% 24.51	% 25.05	% 25.34	
% 100	% 29.19	% 24.44	% 24.0	% 22.37	
% 100	% 26.31	% 24.60	% 24.66	% 24.44	
% 100	% 26.31	% 25.62	% 24.63	% 23.48	

هـ . نتائج مسح معلومات الذكاء الشعوري لأفراد العينة حسب سنوات الخدمة

15 - 8							
5.65	7	4.48	3	3.03	1	12.5	3
79.03	98	74.63	50	90.91	30	75.0	18
15.32	19	20.89	14	6.06	2	12.5	3
100 =P 124 =N	%100	67	%100	33	%100	24	
3.23	4	1.49	1	3.03	1	8.33	2
87.09	108	88.06	59	93.94	31	75.0	18
9.68	12	10.45	7	3.03	1	16.67	4
100 =P 124 =N	%100	67	%100	33	%100	24	
5.65	7	5.97	4	6.06	2	4.17	1
75.80	94	74.63	50	84.85	28	66.67	16
18.55	23	19.40	13	9.09	3	29.16	7
100 =P 124 =N	%100	67	%100	33	%100	24	
3.23	4	1.49	1	--	--	12.5	3
80.64	100	85.07	57	78.79	26	70.83	17
16.13	20	13.34	9	21.21	7	16.67	4
100 =P 124 =N	%100	67	%100	33	%100	24	
3.23	4	1.49	1	--	--	12.5	3
82.26	102	82.09	55	90.91	30	70.83	17
14.51	18	16.42	11	9.09	3	16.67	4
100 =P 124 =N	%100	67	%100	33	%100	24	

تفسير النتائج

% 100	% 34.94	% 32.78	% 32.29	
% 100	% 33.85	% 32.40	% 33.75	
% 100	% 33.27	% 31.65	% 35.08	
% 100	% 33.22	% 34.73	% 32.05	
% 100	% 34.21	% 33.29	% 32.50	

### ثانياً: تحليل علاقات الارتباط

#### أ. علاقة الارتباط بين الذكاء الشعورى والجنس

ب . علاقة الارتباط بين الذكاء الشعوري والعم

أبعاد الشخصية

<b>0.274*</b>	<b>0.311*</b>	<b>0.201**</b>	<b>0.301**</b>	<b>0.296**</b>		
<b>0.119</b>	<b>0.010</b>	<b>0.072</b>	<b>0.157</b>	<b>0.136</b>		
<b>0.066</b>	<b>0.024</b>	<b>0.074</b>	<b>-0.004</b>	<b>0.025</b>		
<b>0.027</b>	<b>-0.062</b>	<b>0.136</b>	<b>-0.027</b>	<b>0.123</b>		
<b>0.119</b>	<b>0.024</b>	<b>0.084</b>	<b>0.175</b>	<b>0.146</b>		

Correlation is Significant at the 0.01 Level (2-tailed) \*\*

Correlation is Significant at the 0.05 Level (2-tailed) \*

ج . علاقة الارتباط بين الذكاء الشعوري والحالة الاجتماعية

د . علاقة الارتباط بين الذكاء الشعوري والتحصيل الدراسيه . علاقة الارتباط بين الذكاء الشعوري وسنوات الخدمةثالثاً : اختبار فرضيات البحث

| (X <sup>2</sup> ) |
|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| 18.13             | 9.177             | 25.0              | 12.675            | 11.07             | 3.771             | 25.0              | 22.224            | 11.07             | 15.429            |
| 15.51             | 14.835            | 21.03             | 4.482             | 9.49              | 2.580             | 21.03             | 20.890            | 9.49              | 16.798            |
| 15.51             | 18.629            | 25.0              | 18.868            | 11.07             | 9.344             | 25.0              | 18.286            | 11.07             | 10.365            |
| 15.51             | 15.988            | 25.0              | 12.440            | 11.07             | 9.362             | 25.0              | 28.857            | 11.07             | 16.501            |
| 15.51             | 22.394            | 25.0              | 10.595            | 11.07             | 8.972             | 25.0              | 23.738            | 11.07             | 17.457            |

القضية الـ رئيسة

.(11.07)

.(25.0)

### الحمر الثالث: الاستنتاجات والتوصيات

أولاً: الاستنتاجات

ثانياً: التوصيات

المصادر

أولاً: الكتب

1. Barnnon, R., Dimensions of the male sex role in America. In Sargent, A. G. (ed.) Beyond sex role, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed., Stamford: west publishing Co., 1985, p.p. 296-316.
2. Bar-On, R., The emotional quotient inventory (EQ-I); Toronto: Multi-Health systems Inc., 1997.
3. Daft, Richard L. & Noe, Raymond. A., Organizational Behavior, Harcourt Inc., USA, 2001.
4. Frijda, N. H., “Moods, Emotion Episodes, and Emotions” .In Lewis, M., Haviland, J. M. (eds.), Handbook of Emotions, (New York: Guilford, 1993), P.P. 381-403.
5. Gardner, H., Frames of Mind: The Theory of Multiple Intelligence, New York: Bask Book .1983.
6. Goleman, D., Emotional Intelligence, New York: Bantam Books, 1995.
7. Goleman, D., Working with emotional Intelligence, New York: Bantam Books, 1998.
8. Griffin, Ricky W. & DeNisi, Angelo S. ,Human Resource Management, New York: H. Mifflin Co., 2001.
9. Hall, J. A., & Brody, L. R., “Gender and Emotions”. In Lewis, M. and Haviland, J. M., Handbook of emotions (New York: Guilford press, 1993), pp. 447-460.
10. Higgs, M. J. & Dulewicz, S.V.D., Making sense of Emotional Intelligence, NFER-Nelson: Windsor, 1999.
11. Higgs, M. J. & Dulewicz, S.V.D., Making sense of Emotional Intelligence, NFER-Nelson: Windsor, 1999.
12. Hochschild, A., The Second Shift, New York: Viking, 1979.

13. Lafrance, M., & Banaji, M., Toward A Reconsideration of the Gender-Emotion Relationship, New Bury Park, London: Sage, 1992.
14. Mayer, J. D., & Salovey, P., What is emotional intelligence? In Salovey & Stuyter (Eds.) emotional development and emotional intelligence: Implication for educators (p.p. 3-31), New York: Basic Books, 1997.
15. Newstrom, W. & Keith, D., Organizational Behavior: Human Behavior at Work, 10<sup>th</sup> ed., McGraw Hill, USA, 1997.
16. Robbins, Stephen P., Organizational Behavior, 8<sup>th</sup> ed., New Jersey: Prentice-Hall, Upper Saddle River, 1998.
17. Robbins, Stephen P., Organizational Behavior, 9<sup>th</sup> ed., Prentice Hall, New Delhi, 2001.
18. Russo, N. F., Sex role stereotyping, socialization, and sexism. In Sargent, A. G. (ed.) Beyond sex roles, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed., Stamford: west publishing co., 1985, p.p. 150-159.
19. Ryckman, Richard M., Theories of Personality, 7<sup>th</sup> ed., Wardsworth, USA, 2000.
20. Wood, S. E., & Wood, E. G., The Essential World of Psychology, New York, Person Education Co., 2000.

ثانياً: الجلات

1. Barret, L. F., Lane, R. D., Sechrest, L., & Schwartz, G. E., "Sex Differences in emotional awareness", Personality and social psychology bulletin, 2000, Vol.26, Issue 9, p.p. 1027-1032.
2. Davies, M., Stankov, L. & Robert, R., "Emotional Intelligence: In search of an elusive construct, Journal of Personality and social Psychology, (1998), 75, (4), P.P. 989-1015.
3. Higgs, M., "Do Leaders Needs Emotional Intelligence? A Study of the relationship Between EI and Leadership of Change", International Journal of Organizational Behavior, (2001), 5(6), pp. 195-212.
4. Hoffman, L. W., "Early Childhood Experiences and Women's Achievement Motives", Journal of Social Issues, (1972), V (28), No.2, pp. 129-155.
5. Mayer, J. D., & Salovey, P., "emotional intelligence", Imagination, Cognition and Personality, (1990), 9, p.p. 185-211.
6. Mayer, J. D., Caruso, D. & Salovey, P., "EI meets traditional standards for an intelligence", Intelligence, (1990), 27, p.p. 267-298.
7. O'Neil, J., "On Emotional Intelligence: A Conversation with Daniel Goleman", Educational Leadership, (1996), 54(1), P.P. 6-11.
8. Rothschild, H., "Emotional Intelligence", International Review of Employment, (2001), P.P.21-25.
9. Rothschild, H., "Emotional Intelligence", International Review of Employment, (2001), P.P.21-25.

ثالثاً: الشارين بالبحوث

1. Cherniss, G., “What it is and why it can be matters”, The consortium for research on EI in organization, Paper presented at the annual meeting of the society for industrial and organizational Psychology, New Orleans, 15 April (2000).
2. Click, H. S., “An Exploration of EI Score among students in educational administration endorsement programs, Ph.D. thesis presented to Tennessee State University, May (2002).
3. Festa, R. M., “Impacting performance through Emotional Intelligence”, Paper presented at Conference Mortgage Bankers Association of America, 20 June (2001).
4. Hughes, J., “The learning organization part II; Getting EI, the learning organization and Emotional Intelligence”, CLM working paper No.34, December (2000).
5. Tarbun, M. A., “The relationship between EI and leader Performance”, thesis: Master of science in leadership and human resource development, from the NAVAL postgraduate school, Monterey, California, 2002.

رابعاً: شبكة الاتصالات الدولية (الإنترنت)

1. Brust, B. W“ ..Emotional Intelligence; Leader's Guide”, 2001, [www.CRMlearning.com](http://www.CRMlearning.com)
2. Cherniss, C., Goleman, D., Emmerling, R., “A Technical Report Issued By The Consortium for Research on Emotional Intelligence in Organizations”, (2002). [www.eiconsortium.com](http://www.eiconsortium.com)
3. Kultanen T., & Rythonen T., “Emotional Intelligence and Work Counseling in The Process Intensive Leadership Development Program”, University of Tampere: Finland, (2001). [www.sses.com/Public](http://www.sses.com/Public)
4. Recker, Nancy K., “Emotional Intelligence What is it”, (2001). [www.hec.Ohio.edu/Famlife](http://www.hec.Ohio.edu/Famlife)
5. Sala, F., “Do Programs Designed to Increase Emotional Intelligence at Work-Work?”, (2000). [www.eiconsortium.org](http://www.eiconsortium.org)
6. Shepherd, P., “Know your own mind”, 2002, [www.Leader/personality.htm](http://www.Leader/personality.htm)
7. Stock, B., “Who Need Emotional Intelligence Skills”, (1999). [www.byronstock.com](http://www.byronstock.com)