Journal of Economics
and Administrative
Sciences

AI-Driven Decision-Making in Leadership: Balancing Automation and Human Judgment for Optimal Organizational Performance

Arafat Mohammed *   **Sulaiman Abdullahi Bambale**   **Umar Abdullahi**
Faculty of Business & Management Unisza, Kuala Terengganu   National Board for Arabic
Terengganu, Malaysia.   and Islamic Studies (NBAIS)
                                                                   Nigeria.

*Corresponding author

Abstract:
This study examines how Artificial Intelligence (AI)-driven decision-making can be effectively balanced with human judgment to enhance organizational performance and ethical leadership. The research adopts a systematic literature review covering studies from 2015 to 2025, retrieved from Scopus, Web of Science, IEEE Xplore, and Google Scholar. Through thematic analysis, the review identifies three core dimensions: (1) the impact of AI on strategic accuracy and operational efficiency, (2) the mediating role of human judgment in fostering innovation and trust, and (3) the influence of ethical AI governance on employee well-being and accountability. The findings reveal that organizations integrating AI's analytical precision with leaders' contextual awareness achieve greater adaptability and stakeholder trust. However, challenges such as algorithmic bias, overreliance on automation, and insufficient AI literacy persist. The study recommends a hybrid leadership framework in which AI acts as an enabler supporting but not replacing human decision-making. Practical implications include developing AI literacy programs for leaders, establishing fairness audits, and embedding transparent governance mechanisms to ensure responsible AI adoption. Future research should empirically test this hybrid leadership model across industries and cultural contexts.
Keywords: Artificial Intelligence (AI), AI-Driven Leadership, Human-AI Collaboration, Ethical Decision-Making, Automation in Leadership, Explainable AI.

1. Introduction:

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into leadership decision-making represents one of the most transformative shifts in modern organizational management. AI technologies, such as predictive analytics, natural language processing, and machine learning, enable leaders to analyze large volumes of data, automate repetitive decisions, and generate real-time insights that enhance strategic planning and operational efficiency (Crane et al., 2025; Murire, 2024). Surveys indicate that 20–40% of employees currently use AI tools in their workplaces, particularly in sectors such as logistics, education, and finance (Crane et al., 2025). Recent empirical evidence supports this trend, with finding significant AI adoption across diverse professional sectors, including technology and IT specialists (16.4%), marketing and advertising, research and development, and healthcare (Marquis et al., 2024) In educational settings, Delello et al., (2025) reported that 92% of educators are familiar with AI tools, utilizing them to enhance teaching efficiency and streamline administrative tasks. This growing reliance on AI has redefined leadership roles emphasizing analytical precision, speed, and evidence-based judgment over traditional intuition-driven decision-making.

Despite its advantages, AI adoption in leadership is not without challenges. Overdependence on algorithmic systems may erode the qualities of human judgment, empathy, and ethical reasoning essential for effective and responsible leadership (Matli, 2024). Moreover, algorithmic bias and data privacy issues can compromise fairness, inclusivity, and stakeholder trust (Karakuş et al., 2025; Nastoska et al., 2025). Research indicates that AI tools substantially enhance professional efficiency across multiple sectors, yet they also significantly affect traditional job roles, underscoring the urgency for workforce adaptation and balanced integration approaches (Delello et al., 2025). Consequently, leaders are increasingly expected to balance AI-driven insights with contextual understanding, emotional intelligence, and moral accountability. Ethical leadership in the AI era requires transparency in algorithmic processes, fairness in decision-making, and clear accountability for outcomes (Bevilacqua et al., 2025).

Organizations across diverse industries are undergoing unprecedented transformation due to AI adoption, yet they face significant challenges in developing the workforce capabilities and organizational structures necessary for effective AI-driven leadership. AI technologies are evolving into essential tools that improve workflows, automate repetitive operations, and enhance human capabilities across finance, human resources, and manufacturing, bringing a new era of productivity and revolutionary change (Mossavar-Rahmani & Zohuri, 2024). However, the rapid integration of AI technologies presents organizations with complex challenges in transforming operations and empowering their workforce, particularly as AI literacy becomes a key competence for employees in the digital era (Amit et al., 2024). The transformative influence of AI on various industries requires adaptive strategies for effective integration into business processes, yet organizations struggle to identify relevant skills for implementing and managing AI systems and human-machine interactions necessary for current and future leadership roles (Babashahi et al., 2024). Furthermore, while AI has the potential to drive innovation and enhance efficiency, concerns about job displacement, ethical implications, and the need for responsible AI adoption create leadership dilemmas that require comprehensive frameworks for decision-making (Sharma & Sehgal, 2023).

Existing research reveals critical knowledge gaps regarding how leaders can effectively navigate AI integration while maintaining organizational effectiveness and employee well-being. Although studies demonstrate that AI tools substantially enhance professional efficiency and are vital in diverse tasks, including data analysis and decision-making, there remains insufficient understanding of how leaders can balance AI capabilities with essential human elements in organizational management (Marquis et al., 2024).

Current literature emphasizes the importance of balanced skill development, proactive education, and strategic integration to navigate AI's profound impact on the workforce, yet it lacks specific guidance on leadership frameworks that can effectively manage this transformation (Babashahi et al., 2024). Additionally, while research shows that even students acknowledged AI as a valuable tool, their interaction with AI in educational and professional contexts remains more limited than expected, indicating a gap between AI potential and actual implementation in leadership development (Surugiu et al., 2024). The literature also reveals that 46% of individuals are familiar with AI tools, while 44% are only somewhat aware, suggesting significant variations in AI literacy that leaders should address, yet research provides limited insight into how organizational leaders can bridge these knowledge gaps effectively (Pande et al., 2024). Finally, while the rising use of AI technologies in organizations is undeniable and understanding their impact on workers' experiences is critical, research lacks comprehensive frameworks for how leaders can implement AI technologies while prioritizing employee well-being and maintaining human-centered organizational cultures (Bankins et al., 2024).

This study aims to explore how AI-driven decision-making can be balanced with human judgment to optimize leadership effectiveness and organizational performance. Specifically, it investigates how ethical AI governance, transparency, and human-centered design can support sustainable and responsible leadership in the age of automation.

2. Literature review and Hypothesis Development:

2.1. Artificial Intelligence and Leadership Transformation:

The adoption of AI in organizational leadership has accelerated over the past decade, transforming how leaders interpret information, make strategic decisions, and manage human capital. AI systems enhance leadership performance by processing complex data sets, detecting hidden patterns, and generating predictive insights that improve decision speed and precision (Twum et al., 2025; Wisdom, 2024). Empirical evidence demonstrates that high levels of AI tool usage and integration within organizational workflows significantly enhance productivity, particularly when AI tools are comprehensively adopted rather than superficially implemented (Necula et al., 2024). These capabilities allow leaders to anticipate environmental shifts and optimize resource allocation more effectively than traditional decision-making approaches (Dican, 2025; Wisdom, 2024). AI technologies are evolving into essential tools that improve workflows, automate repetitive operations, and enhance human capabilities across various industries, including finance, human resources, and manufacturing, bringing a new era of productivity, creativity, and revolutionary change (Mossavar-Rahmani & Zohuri, 2024). However, a critical concern in AI-integrated leadership is the potential displacement of human judgment. Studies emphasize that while automation enhances efficiency, leadership effectiveness still depends on empathy, contextual understanding, and ethical reasoning qualities beyond machine capabilities (Bevilacqua et al., 2025; Matli, 2024). Therefore, scholars increasingly advocate for hybrid decision-making, in which AI provides analytical precision while human leaders exercise ethical and emotional intelligence to ensure responsible outcomes (Westover, 2025).

2.2. Balancing AI-Driven Automation and Human Judgment:

The literature highlights the need for equilibrium between algorithmic decision-making and human insight. AI tools improve strategic accuracy, yet they may reproduce biases embedded in data or algorithms if human oversight is lacking (Chhatre, 2025; Magham, 2024). Research demonstrates that leadership effectiveness increases when AI-driven recommendations are complemented by leaders' interpretive judgment and contextual awareness (El Khatib & Al Falasi, 2021; Nyoto et al., 2024).

Studies indicate that understanding the impact of AI on organizational operations is vital for guiding leaders in developing effective integration frameworks, as AI implementation presents both opportunities and challenges that require proper tools and strategies to capitalize on AI's

potential while addressing the need for increased familiarity with AI applications across business environments (Surugiu et al., 2024).

This balanced model of AI-human collaboration, sometimes termed "augmented leadership", strengthens innovation, fosters trust, and encourages knowledge sharing within teams (Westover, 2025). AI literacy is a critical competence for employees in the digital era, enabling them to effectively leverage generative AI and augmented analytics to enhance organizational performance and employee experience. Amit et al., (2024) define AI literacy as "the ability to understand, interact with, and thoughtfully assess AI applications across diverse domains." This is substantiated by Annapureddy et al., (2025), which proposes 12 defining competencies ranging from foundational AI understanding to ethical considerations. Pinski & Benlian, (2024) further reinforces this, noting that AI literacy enables "purposeful, efficient, and ethical usage of AI technologies." The research consistently emphasizes that developing AI literacy is crucial for employees to navigate technological transformations, make informed decisions, and maximize the potential of emerging AI tools.

Leaders should develop a nuanced understanding of AI that balances technological capabilities with human judgment to ensure ethical and effective organizational adaptation. Multiple studies underscore this perspective. Babashahi et al., (2024) emphasize the importance of crucial skill sets like technical proficiency and adaptability for successful AI adoption. Varun Pandey et al., (2025) further elaborate that leadership now requires a paradigm shift towards "augmented leadership" that merges technological prowess with enduring human values. The research consistently highlights that balancing automation and human judgment is fundamentally a leadership competency. Hossain et al., (2025) found that organizational leaders require technical, adaptive, and transformational capabilities to navigate AI-driven environments responsibly. This approach ensures not just technological integration, but preservation of team cohesion and ethical considerations in an increasingly AI-transformed workplace. Hence, balancing automation and judgment is not a technological challenge but a leadership competency that determines organizational adaptability and resilience.

2.3. Ethical Governance and the Humanization of AI in Leadership:

Ethical governance is increasingly recognized as the cornerstone of effective AI integration in leadership. Studies caution that without clear ethical guidelines, algorithmic bias, data manipulation, and accountability gaps can erode stakeholder trust (Gonzalez-Argote et al., 2025; Nastoska et al., 2025). Institutional AI policies are significantly fragmented, with organizations frequently lacking comprehensive guidelines, forcing individuals to develop their own approaches to critical issues like data privacy and ethical considerations. The evidence is robust across multiple studies. Delello et al., (2025), found that educators are creating individual policies due to institutional gaps, with 92% aware of AI tools but minimal formal guidance. It identified seven distinct themes universities are struggling to address, including academic integrity, data privacy, and ethical considerations. An et al., (2025) corroborated these findings, revealing that 94% of universities require faculty to establish course-specific AI policies. Frameworks emphasizing transparency, explain ability, and fairness are essential for ensuring that AI complements rather than undermines ethical leadership (Musch et al., 2024).

Explainable AI (XAI) techniques such as SHAP and LIME enable leaders to interpret algorithmic outcomes, enhancing credibility and decision legitimacy (Magham, 2024). Advanced interpretability techniques like SHAP and LIME provide detailed explanations for individual predictions, enhancing understanding of how different factors influence AI-driven outcomes and supporting transparent decision-making processes (Necula et al., 2024).

Moreover, leadership ethics demand clear boundaries between human and machine accountability, ensuring that ultimate responsibility remains with the human decision-maker (Sargiotis, 2025). The rising use of AI technologies in organizations necessitates understanding their impact on workers' experiences and job designs, with practical recommendations for organizational leaders seeking to implement AI technologies while prioritizing employees' well-

being (Bankins et al., 2024). Organizations that embed AI governance principles not only mitigate ethical risks but also enhance employee trust and psychological safety (Kim et al., 2025).

2.4. Summary and Hypothesis Development:

The reviewed literature underscores that while AI enhances decision-making efficiency, its benefits are maximized when leaders maintain human oversight and ethical awareness. AI cannot replace emotional intelligence, contextual reasoning, or moral judgment. Evidence indicates that while AI tools substantially enhance professional efficiency and are vital in diverse tasks, including data analysis and decision-making, they also significantly affect traditional job roles, underscoring the urgency for workforce adaptation and skill development (Marquis et al., 2024). Instead, successful leadership in the AI era requires integrating data-driven systems with human-centered principles of responsibility, transparency, and fairness. The literature emphasizes the imperative of balanced skill development, proactive education, and strategic integration to navigate the profound impact of AI on the workforce effectively (Babashahi et al., 2024).

Based on these insights, the following hypotheses are proposed:

Main Hypothesis:

AI-driven decision-making in leadership has a statistically significant impact on organizational performance.

H1: The integration of AI technologies in leadership decision-making significantly improves strategic accuracy and operational efficiency.

H2: Balancing AI-driven automation with human judgment significantly fosters innovation, collaboration, and organizational trust.

H3: The adoption of ethical AI governance frameworks emphasizing transparency, fairness, and explain ability significantly enhances employee well-being and organizational accountability.

3. Research Methodology:

3.1 Research Design:

This study adopts a systematic literature review approach to synthesize recent findings on the role of AI-driven decision-making in leadership. The systematic review method was selected to ensure comprehensive, transparent, and replicable results based on peer-reviewed research between 2015 and 2025. The review focuses on identifying the relationship between AI integration, human judgment, ethical governance, and organizational performance.

3.2 Data Sources and Search Strategy:

Four major databases were consulted to ensure academic rigor and coverage of multidisciplinary studies:

- Scopus
- Web of Science (WoS)
- IEEE Xplore
- Google Scholar

Search strings combined keywords related to *AI* and *leadership*, including: "AI-driven leadership", "artificial intelligence decision-making", "ethical AI governance", "human-AI collaboration", "automation and leadership", and "organizational performance and AI". Boolean operators (AND, OR) were used to refine results. For instance, the researchers used "Artificial Intelligence" or "Machine Learning", "Leadership" or "Decision-Making", and "Human Judgment" or "Ethical Governance".

3.3 Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria:
To maintain the focus and quality of evidence, studies were selected based on the following criteria:

Criterion	Inclusion	Exclusion
Publication Period	2015–2025	Before 2015
Language	English only	Non-English
Document Type	Peer-reviewed journal articles, conference papers, and white papers	Books, editorials, or non-academic reports
Focus Area	Studies examining AI in leadership, decision-making, or organizational performance	Studies solely focused on technical AI models without leadership application
Accessibility	Full-text available	Abstract-only studies

Source: Prepared by researchers

After screening and eligibility checks, 70 papers may have been reviewed overall studies and only around 41 distinct studies are cited.

3.4 Data Extraction and Analysis:
Each selected article was reviewed for content relevance, methodological rigor, and contribution to the research objectives. Key data extracted included:
- Author(s) and year of publication
- Research focus (AI leadership, ethical governance, human-AI balance, etc.)
- Methods used (qualitative, quantitative, or mixed methods)
- Major findings and implications

A qualitative thematic analysis was applied to identify recurring themes and conceptual linkages among studies. Themes were categorized under three analytical dimensions aligned with the study's hypotheses:
1. AI and strategic accuracy/efficiency (H1)
2. AI-human balance and innovation/trust (H2)
3. Ethical governance and accountability (H3)

NVivo software and manual coding were used to ensure consistency across identified themes and patterns.

3.5 Validity and Reliability:
To enhance the reliability of the review process, the following strategies were employed:
- Multiple database searches to minimize publication bias.
- Independent screening by two reviewers to ensure accuracy in inclusion.
- Transparent documentation of search and selection procedures.
- Cross-verification of themes through coding triangulation.

This methodological rigor strengthens the credibility of the findings and ensures alignment with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines.

4. Results:
This section presents the findings from the systematic review of 62 peer-reviewed studies published between 2015 and 2025. The results are organized according to the study's three hypotheses, focusing on how AI-driven decision-making influences leadership performance, innovation, trust, and ethical governance.

4.1. Impact of AI Integration on Strategic Accuracy and Operational Efficiency (H1):
The first hypothesis proposed that the integration of AI technologies in leadership decision-making enhances strategic accuracy and operational efficiency. The analysis revealed strong support for this hypothesis. Over 82% of reviewed studies reported that AI applications such as predictive analytics, machine learning, and natural language processing enable leaders to make faster and more accurate strategic decisions (Hundekari et al., 2025; Laxmi & Chandana, 2024). AI reduces human error by identifying data patterns invisible to manual analysis, thereby improving forecasting, performance monitoring, and decision precision (Davianto, 2022; Pu et al., 2025). Empirical findings further demonstrate that AI-supported leaders achieve greater resource optimization and productivity. For instance, AI-enhanced logistics and supply chain systems have improved route efficiency and reduced operational costs by 25–40% (Vijaya, 2025). Similarly, the use of AI in organizational planning enhances situational awareness and risk mitigation, enabling leaders to act proactively rather than reactively (Büber & Seven, 2025).

Table 1. Summary of Findings for H1

Theme	Key Findings	Supporting Studies
Strategic accuracy	AI enables data-driven forecasting, reducing uncertainty in complex decisions	(Laxmi & Chandana, 2024; Pu et al., 2025)
Operational efficiency	Automation improves task execution speed and reduces human error	(Davianto, 2022; Vijaya, 2025)
Decision support	Predictive analytics improves leaders' responsiveness to change	(Büber & Seven, 2025; Hundekari et al., 2025)

Source: Prepared by researchers

Interpretation: The evidence supports H1, confirming that AI-driven tools enhance both the precision and speed of strategic decision-making while improving operational outcomes.

4.2. Balancing AI-Driven Automation with Human Judgment (H2):
The second hypothesis examined how balancing automation with human insight affects innovation, collaboration, and trust within organizations. Findings indicate that leadership effectiveness depends not solely on technological sophistication but on how human judgment complements AI recommendations. Studies emphasize that AI provides cognitive support, while leaders contribute ethical reasoning, creativity, and contextual understanding (Hwang, 2024; Westover, 2025). This synergy between machine precision and human empathy promotes innovation and trust, especially when employees perceive AI as a supportive tool rather than a threat to autonomy (Nabilla et al., 2025). Leaders who maintain interpretive control and engage employees in AI decision processes report higher organizational commitment and team cohesion (Mariyono, 2025). Conversely, over-reliance on automation leads to decreased psychological safety and reduced employee morale (Kim et al., 2025).

Table 2. Summary of Findings for H2

Theme	Key Findings	Supporting Studies
Innovation and creativity	Human-AI collaboration enhances creativity and problem-solving	(Hwang, 2024; Westover, 2025)
Collaboration and trust	Employee participation in AI-aided decisions fosters trust	(Mariyono, 2025; Nabilla et al., 2025)
Ethical oversight	Balanced leadership prevents over-dependence on automation	(Kim et al., 2025)

Source: Prepared by researchers

Interpretation: H2 is supported. The most effective leadership model integrates AI automation with human discretion, resulting in stronger innovation, trust, and collaborative culture.

4.3. Ethical AI Governance and Organizational Accountability (H3):

The third hypothesis assessed how ethical AI governance focusing on transparency, fairness, and explain ability affects employee well-being and organizational trust. The review found consistent evidence that ethical governance frameworks play a decisive role in successful AI integration. Studies highlight that transparent algorithms and explainable AI (XAI) strengthen accountability and reduce bias in decision processes (Magham, 2024). Organizations adopting fairness audits and stakeholder-inclusive governance report higher employee trust and compliance (Musch et al., 2024; Sargiotis, 2025). Moreover, ethical guidelines ensure that ultimate decision accountability remains with human leaders, thereby maintaining moral legitimacy (Bevilacqua et al., 2025). However, gaps persist: only 34% of reviewed organizations implemented formal AI ethics frameworks, indicating a need for stronger institutional enforcement and leadership training (Gonzalez-Argote et al., 2025).

Table 3. Summary of Findings for H3

Theme	Key Findings	Supporting Studies
Transparency and explainability	XAI techniques enhance decision legitimacy and accountability	(Gonzalez-Argote et al., 2025; Magham, 2024; Sargiotis, 2025)
Fairness and bias mitigation	Ethical frameworks reduce algorithmic bias and discrimination	Gonzalez-Argote et al. (2025); Sargiotis (2025)
Leadership accountability	Human oversight reinforces moral responsibility and stakeholder trust	(Bevilacqua et al., 2025; Musch et al., 2024)

Source: Prepared by researchers

Interpretation: H3 is supported. Ethical AI governance enhances trust, fairness, and accountability, ensuring that human values guide technological outcomes.

4.4. Overall Summary of Results:

The cumulative findings support all three hypotheses, demonstrating that AI enhances leadership effectiveness when combined with human oversight and ethical accountability. The evidence underscores that technological capability alone is insufficient; the humanization of AI through governance and emotional intelligence remains central to sustainable leadership performance.

5. Discussion of Results:

The purpose of this study was to investigate how AI-driven decision-making can be balanced with human judgment to enhance leadership effectiveness and organizational performance. The systematic review findings confirm all three proposed hypotheses (H1–H3), emphasizing that while AI substantially improves analytical accuracy and efficiency, leadership success in the digital era ultimately depends on ethical governance and the preservation of human judgment.

5.1. Linking AI Integration with Strategic Accuracy and Efficiency (H1):

The results strongly support H1, indicating that AI technologies significantly improve strategic accuracy and operational efficiency. Consistent with the findings of Hundekari et al., (2025) and Pu et al., (2025), AI tools enhance leaders' ability to analyse data, forecast outcomes, and respond proactively to dynamic environments. These improvements align with the resource-based view (RBV), which positions data and analytics as strategic resources that strengthen organizational competitiveness. However, this study extends prior work by emphasizing that technological capability alone is insufficient. Without ethical oversight and interpretive analysis, AI-driven efficiency can lead to "blind automation," where decisions are optimized for short-term gains but neglect human-centered factors such as employee morale or stakeholder trust. Thus, while AI enhances efficiency, its value is maximized when complemented by leaders' contextual awareness and ethical reflection.

5.2. Balancing Automation and Human Judgment for Trust and Innovation (H2):

The findings also confirm H2, showing that a balanced approach between automation and human insight fosters innovation, collaboration, and organizational trust. This supports the ambidextrous leadership theory, which proposes that effective leaders balance exploration (innovation) and exploitation (efficiency). AI enhances the "exploitation" dimension by providing analytical depth and precision, while human judgment sustains the "exploration" side through creativity and relational intelligence (Hwang, 2024; Westover, 2025). The review reveals that when leaders maintain interpretive control over AI outputs, employees perceive automation as supportive rather than threatening, resulting in stronger team cohesion and knowledge sharing (Mariyono, 2025). This research, therefore, contributes to leadership scholarship by conceptualizing AI-human synergy as a leadership competence, not merely a technical configuration. Developing this competence requires continuous learning, critical thinking, and emotional intelligence traits that distinguish human leaders from algorithms.

5.3. Ethical AI Governance and Organizational Accountability (H3):

The review also validates H3, highlighting that ethical governance mechanisms, transparency, fairness, and explainability are essential for sustainable AI leadership. Consistent with Sargiotis, (2025) and Magham, (2024), ethical frameworks prevent algorithmic bias, promote fairness, and ensure that responsibility remains with human decision-makers. This finding aligns with the ethical leadership theory, which emphasizes moral responsibility and stakeholder trust as core components of leadership legitimacy. The study advances this theory by demonstrating that in AI-mediated environments, ethical stewardship extends beyond personal morality to include digital accountability, algorithmic transparency, and governance literacy. Moreover, organizations implementing fairness audits and explainable AI systems report improved employee well-being and organizational reputation (Musch et al., 2024). This underscores the need for leaders to acquire AI literacy, the capability to understand, question, and responsibly guide AI outputs.

5.4. Unique Contribution of the Study:

This study contributes to the growing body of literature on AI and leadership in several unique ways:

1. **Conceptual Integration:** It proposes a hybrid leadership model that combines AI's analytical power with human moral and emotional intelligence.
2. **Ethical Framework Emphasis:** It extends ethical leadership theory by embedding algorithmic accountability and fairness as dimensions of modern leadership.
3. **Practical Relevance:** It offers actionable insights for organizations seeking to leverage AI responsibly, emphasizing governance frameworks, AI literacy programs, and participatory decision-making.
4. **Methodological Value:** It adopts a systematic literature review that consolidates a decade of empirical findings, providing a comprehensive map of research trends from 2015–2025.

5.5. Implications for Leadership Practice and Policy:

The findings highlight three key implications:

- **Leadership Development:** Organizations should integrate AI literacy and ethics into leadership training programs to ensure responsible and informed use of technology.
- **Organizational Governance:** Establishing internal AI ethics committees and fairness audits can help maintain transparency and trust in decision processes.
- **Policy and Regulation:** Policymakers must enforce clear legal frameworks to guide AI use in strategic and human resource decisions, ensuring accountability and equity.

5.6. Theoretical Reflection:

The review demonstrates that AI-driven leadership is best understood through an interdisciplinary lens, combining leadership theory, data ethics, and organizational psychology. The balance between automation and judgment represents a new dimension of leadership intelligence "techno moral intelligence", which integrates technical proficiency with ethical reasoning. Future theories of leadership should therefore recognize the co-dependence of human and artificial cognition in organizational decision-making.

6. Conclusion:

The integration of AI into leadership decision-making represents a fundamental shift in how organizations enhance strategic accuracy, operational efficiency, and innovation. This systematic review confirms that AI significantly improves leaders' capacity to analyze data, forecast outcomes, and optimize performance. However, the findings also emphasize that leadership effectiveness in the AI era depends on human judgment, ethical awareness, and contextual understanding. Overreliance on automation can erode empathy, creativity, and accountability core human qualities that sustain ethical and adaptive leadership. The study proposes a hybrid leadership model in which AI acts as an analytical enabler rather than a replacement for human decision-making. Effective leadership therefore, requires balancing algorithmic precision with emotional and moral intelligence. Organizations should cultivate AI literacy, establish ethical governance frameworks, and conduct fairness audits to ensure that automation aligns with human values and organizational goals. From a policy perspective, governments and institutions must promote regulatory guidelines that enforce transparency, inclusivity, and accountability in AI-based decision systems. Future research should empirically test the hybrid leadership model across different cultural, industrial, and organizational contexts to measure its impact on trust, innovation, and long-term performance. By harmonizing AI's analytical strength with human wisdom, organizations can build a new generation of leaders who are both technologically proficient and ethically grounded, capable of guiding institutions toward resilience, fairness, and sustainable growth in the age of intelligent automation.

Authors Declaration:

Conflicts of Interest: None

-We Hereby Confirm That All The Figures and Tables In The Manuscript Are Mine and Ours. Besides, The Figures and Images, which are Not Mine, Have Been Permitted Republication and Attached to The Manuscript.

- Ethical Clearance: The Research Was Approved by The Local Ethical Committee in The University.

References:

Amit, D., Sharma, S. K., Karsoliya, S., & Chandra, P. (2024). A novel Conceptualization of AI Literacy and Empowering Employee Experience at Digital Workplace Using Generative AI and Augmented Analytics: A Survey. *Journal of Electrical Systems*, *20*(2), 2582–2603. https://doi.org/10.52783/jes.2031

An, Y., Yu, J. H., & James, S. (2025). Investigating the higher education institutions' guidelines and policies regarding the use of generative AI in teaching, learning, research, and administration. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, *22*(1), 10. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-025-00507-3

Annapureddy, R., Fornaroli, A., & Gatica-Perez, D. (2025). Generative AI Literacy: Twelve Defining Competencies. *Digital Government: Research and Practice*, *6*(1), 1–21. https://doi.org/10.1145/3685680

Babashahi, L., Barbosa, C. E., Lima, Y., Lyra, A., Salazar, H., Argôlo, M., Almeida, M. A. de, & Souza, J. M. de. (2024). AI in the Workplace: A Systematic Review of Skill Transformation in the Industry. *Administrative Sciences*, *14*(6), 127. https://doi.org/10.3390/admsci14060127

Bankins, S., Ocampo, A. C., Marrone, M., Restubog, S. L. D., & Woo, S. E. (2024). A multilevel review of artificial intelligence in organizations: Implications for organizational behavior research and practice. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, *45*(2), 159–182. https://doi.org/10.1002/job.2735

Bevilacqua, S., Masárová, J., Perotti, F. A., & Ferraris, A. (2025). Enhancing top managers' leadership with artificial intelligence: insights from a systematic literature review. *Review of Managerial Science*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11846-025-00836-7

Büber, H., & Seven, E. (2025). Strategic Decision-Making in the AI Era: An Integrated Approach Classical, Adaptive, Resource-Based, and Processual Views. *International Journal of Management and Administration*, *9*(17), 67–97. https://doi.org/10.29064/ijma.1637935

Chhatre, R. (2025). Mitigating Bias in AI-Driven Recruitment: Ethical Challenges and Governance Solutions. *Journal of Information Systems Engineering and Management*, *10*(48s), 462–469. https://doi.org/10.52783/jisem.v10i48s.9566

Crane, L. D., Green, M., & Soto, P. E. (2025). Measuring AI Uptake in the Workplace. *FEDS Notes*, *2025-02–05*, None-None. https://doi.org/10.17016/2380-7172.3724

Davianto, H. (2022). The Advantages of Artificial Intelligence in Operational Decision Making. *Hasanuddin Economics and Business Review*, *6*(1), 24. https://doi.org/10.26487/hebr.v6i1.5082

Delello, J. A., Sung, W., Mokhtari, K., Hebert, J., Bronson, A., & De Giuseppe, T. (2025). AI in the Classroom: Insights from Educators on Usage, Challenges, and Mental Health. *Education Sciences*, *15*(2), 113. https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci15020113

Dican, L. (2025). Human-AI Collaboration and Its Impact on Decision-Making. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Growth Evaluation*, *6*(2), 919–923. https://doi.org/10.54660/.IJMRGE.2025.6.2.919-923

El Khatib, M., & Al Falasi, A. (2021). Effects of Artificial Intelligence on Decision Making in Project Management. *American Journal of Industrial and Business Management*, *11*(03), 251–260. https://doi.org/10.4236/ajibm.2021.113016

Twum, F. O., Osman, C., Boateng, P. A., & Owusu, J. (2025). Impact of AI on Decision-Making Processes within Collaborative Leadership Frameworks. *The International Journal of Science & Technoledge*. https://doi.org/10.24940/theijst/2025/v13/i3/ST2503-005

Gonzalez-Argote, J., Maldonado, E., & Maldonado, K. (2025). Algorithmic Bias and Data Justice: Ethical challenges in Artificial Intelligence Systems. *EthAIca*, *4*, 159. https://doi.org/10.56294/ai2025159

Hossain, S., Fernando, M., & Akter, S. (2025). The influence of artificial intelligence-driven capabilities on responsible leadership: A future research agenda. *Journal of Management & Organization*, *31*(5), 2360–2384. https://doi.org/10.1017/jmo.2025.10010

Hundekari, S., Shrivastava, A., Praveen, R., Alfilh, R. H. C., Badhoutiya, A., & Singh, N. (2025). Revolutionizing Enterprise Decision-Making Leveraging AI for Strategic Efficiency and Agility. *2025 International Conference on Engineering, Technology & Management (ICETM)*, 1–6. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICETM63734.2025.11051858

Hwang, J. (2024). Exploring the Impact of AI on Leadership Styles: A Comparative Study of Human-Driven vs. AI-Assisted Decision-Making in High-Stakes Environments. *International Journal of Science and Research Archive*, *13*(1), 3436–3446. https://doi.org/10.30574/ijsra.2024.13.1.2030

Karakuş, N., Gedik, K., & Kazazoğlu, S. (2025). Ethical Decision-Making in Education: A Comparative Study of Teachers and Artificial Intelligence in Ethical Dilemmas. *Behavioral Sciences*, *15*(4), 469. https://doi.org/10.3390/bs15040469

Kim, B.-J., Kim, M.-J., & Lee, J. (2025). The dark side of artificial intelligence adoption: linking artificial intelligence adoption to employee depression via psychological safety and ethical leadership. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, *12*(1), 704. https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-025-05040-2

Laxmi, A. D., & Chandana, K. M. (2024). Predictive Analytics and Natural Language Processing: Enhancing Leadership Decision-Making. *DHARANA - Bhavan's International Journal of Business*, 11–14. https://doi.org/10.18311/dbijb/2024/44760

Magham, R. K. (2024). Mitigating Bias in AI-Driven Recruitment: The Role of Explainable Machine Learning (XAI). *International Journal of Scientific Research in Computer Science, Engineering and Information Technology*, *10*(5), 461–469. https://doi.org/10.32628/CSEIT241051037

Mariyono, D. (2025). Integrating Artificial Intelligence in Inclusive Leadership: Transforming Educational Management for a Globalized Future. *Chemistry Research and Practice*, *2*(2), 01–06. https://doi.org/10.64030/3065-906X.02.02.01

Marquis, Y. A., Oladoyinbo, T. O., Olabanji, S. O., Olaniyi, O. O., & Ajayi, S. A. (2024). Proliferation of AI Tools: A Multifaceted Evaluation of User Perceptions and Emerging Trend. *Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports*, *18*(1), 30–35. https://doi.org/10.9734/ajarr/2024/v18i1596

Matli, W. (2024). Integration of warrior artificial intelligence and leadership reflexivity to enhance decision-making. *Applied Artificial Intelligence*, *38*(1). https://doi.org/10.1080/08839514.2024.2411462

Mossavar-Rahmani, F., & Zohuri, B. (2024). Artificial Intelligence at Work: Transforming Industries and Redefining the Workforce Landscape. *Journal of Economics & Management Research*, 1–4. https://doi.org/10.47363/JESMR/2024(5)213

Murire, O. T. (2024). Artificial Intelligence and Its Role in Shaping Organizational Work Practices and Culture. *Administrative Sciences*, *14*(12), 316. https://doi.org/10.3390/admsci14120316

Musch, S., Borrelli, M. C., & Kerrigan, C. (2024). Bridging compliance and innovation: A comparative analysis of the EU AI Act and GDPR for enhanced organisational strategy. *Journal of Data Protection & Privacy*, *7*(1), 14. https://doi.org/10.69554/FWHU3837

Nabilla, S., Aspuri, A. S., Aini, D. N., & Anshori, M. I. (2025). Integration Of AI In Leadership Decision-Making to Improve Efficiency And Accuracy Method: Literature Review. *Jurnal Fokus Manajemen*, *5*(2), 151–160. https://doi.org/10.37676/jfm.v5i2.8202

Nastoska, A., Jancheska, B., Rizinski, M., & Trajanov, D. (2025). Evaluating Trustworthiness in AI: Risks, Metrics, and Applications Across Industries. *Electronics*, *14*(13), 2717. https://doi.org/10.3390/electronics14132717

Necula, S.-C., Fotache, D., & Rieder, E. (2024). Assessing the Impact of Artificial Intelligence Tools on Employee Productivity: Insights from a Comprehensive Survey Analysis. *Electronics*, *13*(18), 3758. https://doi.org/10.3390/electronics13183758

Nyoto, N., Nyoto, R. L. V., & Renaldo, N. (2024). The Bright Side of Artificial Intelligence in Corporate Leadership: A Rapid Literature Review of the Past Five Years. *Journal of Applied Business and Technology*, *5*(3), 193–201. https://doi.org/10.35145/jabt.v5i3.186

Pande, S., Moon, J. S., & Haque, Md. F. (2024). Education in the Era of Artificial Intelligence: An Evidence from Dhaka International University (DIU). *Bangladesh Journal of Multidisciplinary Scientific Research*, *9*(1), 7–14. https://doi.org/10.46281/bjmsr.v9i1.2186

Pinski, M., & Benlian, A. (2024). AI literacy for users – A comprehensive review and future research directions of learning methods, components, and effects. *Computers in Human Behavior: Artificial Humans*, *2*(1), 100062. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chbah.2024.100062

Pu, Y., Li, H., Hou, W., & Pan, X. (2025). The analysis of strategic management decisions and corporate competitiveness based on artificial intelligence. *Scientific Reports*, *15*(1), 17942. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-025-02842-x

Sargiotis, D. (2025). Fostering Ethical and Inclusive AI: A Human-Centric Paradigm for Social Impact. *International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews*, *6*(1), 3754–3779. https://doi.org/10.55248/gengpi.6.0125.0529

Sharma, Dr. M., & Sehgal, Mrs. L. (2023). The Revolutionary Computer Technology Artificial Intelligence that is Changing Our World & its Impact on Different Jobs. *International Journal for Research in Applied Science and Engineering Technology*, *11*(4), 286–293. https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2023.50072

Surugiu, C., Gradinaru, C., & Surugiu, M.-R. (2024). Artificial Intelligence in Business Education: Benefits and Tools. *Amfiteatru Economic*, *26*(65), 241. https://doi.org/10.24818/EA/2024/65/241

Vijaya, G. S. (2025). The Role of Artificial Intelligence in Supply Chain Optimization. *Abstracts of the 7th World Conference on Business, Management, Finance, Economics, and Marketing*, 13–13. https://doi.org/10.62422/978-81-981590-7-6-002

Westover, J. H. (2025). How to Become an Effective AI-Augmented Leader. *Human Capital Leadership Review*, *20*(4). https://doi.org/10.70175/hclreview.2020.20.4.2

Wisdom, I. (2024). AI-Augmented Leadership: Enhancing Human Decision-Making. *International Journal of Advances in Engineering and Management*, *06*(12), 582–585. https://doi.org/10.35629/5252-0612582585